

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/567,230	02/03/2006	Gordon Bell	70257 9450	
	7590 12/11/2007 CROP PROTECTION , IN	ıc	EXAM	INER
PATENT AND	TRADEMARK DEPAR			
410 SWING R			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
GREENBOR	0,1102/10		1616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) RELL ET AL 10/567.230 Office Action Summary Examiner Art ilnit 1616 Alton N. Pryor

- A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,
- WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

 Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
- earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 February 2006</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.		
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merit		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		

וט	sposii	ion of Ciairis	
	4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in	the application.
		4a) Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.

- Claim(s) is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6.9.10 and 12-15 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) 7,8 and 11 is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

Diamonitian of Claims

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
 - Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.
 - 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
 - * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/3/06

4) 🔲	Interview Summary (PTO-413)
	Paner No(s)/Mail Date

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Oth

Mier			

⁻⁻ The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted steps are: Related to how the continuous phase is prepared. The Examiner is requesting that Applicants employ "preparing" instead of "prepared" to better set forth the active step in the claim.

Claim 15 is rejected because the claim does not set forth how the concentrate is used. Please indicate what is meant by the term "using".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5,9,10,12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Aven (EP 1023832; 8/2/00). Aven teaches an aqueous, concentrate suspension

Application/Control Number: 10/567.230

Art Unit: 1616

comprising an alkylpolyglycosides (oil based adjuvant) and a hydrotrope. See page 5 paragraph 31. Aven teaches that the concentrate can comprise approximately 25% adjuvant (calculated from the addition of (g/L): 400 active, 500 adjuvant, 100 surfactant, and 800; then divided total value into 500 g/L adjuvant to arrive at about 25% adjuvant). See abstract. A suspension equates to dispersion of active particles (solid) in a liquid which meets the limitation of second phase (solid) being dispersed in a continuous phase. See abstract, paragraph 53. Aven also teaches the addition of a liquid active ingredient to the composition which meets the claim limitation of the second phase comprising a water-immiscible liquid. See paragraph 26. With respect to claim 12 the composition in about 25% oil based adjuvant meets the limitation of the oil base adjuvant comprising a dispersed agrochemical concentrate therein.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6,13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aven (EP 1023832) as applied to claims 1-5,9,10 above. Aven teaches that the suspension concentrates are processed by well established procedures including mixing and / or milling of actives with other substances such as solvents and adjuvants. See paragraphs 53,63,64. Aven teaches that the concentrate can be diluted in water. See paragraph 6. Aven does not specifically teach a) that the continuous phase is

Application/Control Number:

10/567,230 Art Unit: 1616

prepared first, b) the milling of the solid in water, c) the dilution of the concentrate in a spray tank of water or encapsulation of ingredients (the second phase). The specification does not provide results related to the formulation of the continuous phase first versus the methodology as recited in Aven. In the absence of such results, it is obvious that the ordering of the steps will yield the same concentrate (possessing the same chemical and physical characteristics) since Aven and instant invention teaches the mixing / milling of the same chemicals. Both inventions disclose that the concentrate is diluted in water. Therefore whether the concentrate is diluted in spray tank or in some container is immaterial, i.e. the composition should be the same. In the absence of unexpected results, the concentrate diluted in the spray tank should be identical to the concentrate diluted in any other container. With respect to micro-encapsulation (encapsulation), it is standard practice to encapsulate materials to delay their release. This signifies a common practice in the herbicide art. There is nothing unobvious about encapsulating materials in the herbicide art. (e.g. see USPN 5708073).

Claim Objection

Claims 7,8 and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior does not teach or suggest the instant invention comprising the second phase as a micro-emulsion or a third phase.

Application/Control Number: 10/567.230

Art Unit: 1616

Telephonic Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alton N. Pryor whose telephone number is 571-272-0621. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Alton Pryor Primary Examiner

AU 1616